IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 4150 of 2005

Between:

Daravath Tari, w/o Mangthay,
R/o Vengannapalem(V), Julurupadu (M), Khammam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector, Khammam.
2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Julurupadu(M),

Khammam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue writ or direction preferably writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the 1st respondent in taking appropriate action on the representation/complaint dt.11-1-2005 registered as PP-110105-14534 as illegal, arbitrary, and violative of principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondent No.1 to take appropriate action on the said complaint dt.11-1-2005 and pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner :Mr.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not taking appropriate action on the representation of the petitioner dated 11-01-2005 registered as PP-110105-14534.

According to the petitioner, she belongs to the tribal community and owns three guntas of land in S.No.268 situated at Vengannapalem village, Julurupadu (Mandal), Khammam District. The land is situated in the agency area. As certain non-tribal people are interfering with the petitioner's possession, she filed O.S.No.11/2003 on the file of the Agency Divisional Officer, Kothagudem, and also filed I.A.NO.8/2003 for injunction. It is stated that in the said I.A. an order of *status quo* was passed on 29-12-2003. But, however, as the non-trible people are interfering due to the collusion and cooperation of the second respondent, the petitioner made a representation, which was not acted upon. Hence the present writ petition.

In view of the allegations made by the petitioner, and that the 2nd respondent is cooperating with non tribals, who are trying to interfere with the petitioner's possession and trying to dispossess her, it is appropriate to direct the 1st respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 11-01-2005 for giving protection to the petitioner, who is admittedly a tribal, to protect her possession of an extent of three guntas of land as claimed by her.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 1st respondent to pass appropriate orders expeditiously, preferably, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.

S. ANANDA REDDY, J.

Dated: 28..06..2005.

RNS

To

1 The District Collector, Khammam.

2 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Julurupadu(M),

Khammam District.

3 Two CCs to G.P.for Social Welfare, High Court buildings,

High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad(OUT)

4 Two CD copies

5 One CC to MR.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR

6 One CC to MR.KOWTURU VINAYA KUMAR